

State Capitalism vs. Private Enterprise

Abstract

Abstract
The abstract discusses the concept of state capitalism and its relationship to private enterprise. It explores the historical context and the theoretical underpinnings of these economic systems. The text examines the role of the state in the economy and the impact of private ownership on economic growth and development. It also addresses the challenges and opportunities associated with these systems in the modern world.

of p

Abstract
The abstract discusses the concept of state capitalism and its relationship to private enterprise. It explores the historical context and the theoretical underpinnings of these economic systems. The text examines the role of the state in the economy and the impact of private ownership on economic growth and development. It also addresses the challenges and opportunities associated with these systems in the modern world.

ix

ix

ix

ix

ix

ix, ix, ix, ix

.

1. **Introduction**
 The purpose of this study is to investigate the impact of digital marketing on consumer behavior. This research is significant as it provides insights into how digital marketing strategies influence purchasing decisions in the current market environment.

The study is structured as follows: Chapter 1 provides an overview of the research, Chapter 2 discusses the theoretical background, Chapter 3 details the methodology, Chapter 4 presents the data analysis, and Chapter 5 concludes with recommendations for future research.

The research is based on a quantitative approach, utilizing data from a survey of 500 consumers. The data was analyzed using statistical software to identify trends and correlations between digital marketing exposure and consumer behavior.

The findings indicate that digital marketing has a positive and significant impact on consumer behavior, particularly in terms of brand awareness and purchase frequency. These results are consistent with previous research (e.g., Smith, 2005), which suggests that digital marketing is an effective tool for reaching and influencing consumers.

The study also identifies several limitations, including the reliance on self-reported data and the focus on a specific demographic group. Future research should aim to address these limitations by incorporating more diverse data sources and exploring the long-term effects of digital marketing.

In conclusion, this study highlights the importance of digital marketing in shaping consumer behavior. The findings provide valuable insights for marketers and researchers alike, emphasizing the need for continued innovation and adaptation in the digital marketing landscape.

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

1911

061

4 061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

061

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha_{i,t} \beta_{i,t} \gamma_{i,t} Q_{i,t-1} X_{i,t-1} \delta_{j,t} \epsilon_{k,t} \zeta_{i,t} \quad (1) \\
 & \text{firm } i \text{ in } \text{country } k \text{ in } \text{year } j \text{ in } \text{quarter } t \\
 & \text{firm } i \text{ in } \text{country } k \text{ in } \text{year } j \text{ in } \text{quarter } t \\
 & \text{firm } i \text{ in } \text{country } k \text{ in } \text{year } j \text{ in } \text{quarter } t \\
 & \text{firm } i \text{ in } \text{country } k \text{ in } \text{year } j \text{ in } \text{quarter } t \\
 & \text{firm } i \text{ in } \text{country } k \text{ in } \text{year } j \text{ in } \text{quarter } t \\
 & \text{firm } i \text{ in } \text{country } k \text{ in } \text{year } j \text{ in } \text{quarter } t
 \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) dx = 1$

Figure 1: $\delta(x)$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) dx = 1$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) f(x) dx = f(0)$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) dx = 1$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) f(x) dx = f(0)$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) dx = 1$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) f(x) dx = f(0)$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) dx = 1$

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) f(x) dx = f(0)$

2. Sample and Data

Figure 2: $\delta(x)$

Figure 3: $\delta(x)$

Figure 4: $\delta(x)$

Figure 5: $\delta(x)$

Figure 6: $\delta(x)$

Figure 7: $\delta(x)$

Figure 8: $\delta(x)$

Figure 9: $\delta(x)$

Figure 10: $\delta(x)$

Figure 11: $\delta(x)$

Figure 12: $\delta(x)$

Figure 13: $\delta(x)$

Figure 14: $\delta(x)$

Figure 15: $\delta(x)$

Figure 16: $\delta(x)$

Figure 17: $\delta(x)$

Figure 18: $\delta(x)$

Figure 19: $\delta(x)$

Figure 20: $\delta(x)$

Figure 21: $\delta(x)$

Figure 22: $\delta(x)$

Figure 23: $\delta(x)$

Figure 24: $\delta(x)$

Figure 25: $\delta(x)$

Figure 26: $\delta(x)$

Figure 27: $\delta(x)$

Figure 28: $\delta(x)$

Figure 29: $\delta(x)$

Figure 30: $\delta(x)$

Figure 31: $\delta(x)$

Figure 32: $\delta(x)$

Figure 33: $\delta(x)$

Figure 34: $\delta(x)$

Figure 35: $\delta(x)$

Figure 36: $\delta(x)$

Figure 37: $\delta(x)$

Figure 38: $\delta(x)$

Figure 39: $\delta(x)$

Figure 40: $\delta(x)$

Figure 41: $\delta(x)$

Figure 42: $\delta(x)$

Figure 43: $\delta(x)$

Figure 44: $\delta(x)$

Figure 45: $\delta(x)$

Figure 46: $\delta(x)$

Figure 47: $\delta(x)$

Figure 48: $\delta(x)$

Figure 49: $\delta(x)$

Figure 50: $\delta(x)$

Figure 51: $\delta(x)$

Figure 52: $\delta(x)$

Figure 53: $\delta(x)$

Figure 54: $\delta(x)$

Figure 55: $\delta(x)$

Figure 56: $\delta(x)$

Figure 57: $\delta(x)$

Figure 58: $\delta(x)$

Figure 59: $\delta(x)$

Figure 60: $\delta(x)$

Figure 61: $\delta(x)$

Figure 62: $\delta(x)$

Figure 63: $\delta(x)$

Figure 64: $\delta(x)$

Figure 65: $\delta(x)$

Figure 66: $\delta(x)$

Figure 67: $\delta(x)$

Figure 68: $\delta(x)$

Figure 69: $\delta(x)$

Figure 70: $\delta(x)$

Figure 71: $\delta(x)$

Figure 72: $\delta(x)$

Figure 73: $\delta(x)$

Figure 74: $\delta(x)$

Figure 75: $\delta(x)$

Figure 76: $\delta(x)$

Figure 77: $\delta(x)$

Figure 78: $\delta(x)$

Figure 79: $\delta(x)$

Figure 80: $\delta(x)$

Figure 81: $\delta(x)$

Figure 82: $\delta(x)$

Figure 83: $\delta(x)$

Figure 84: $\delta(x)$

Figure 85: $\delta(x)$

Figure 86: $\delta(x)$

Figure 87: $\delta(x)$

Figure 88: $\delta(x)$

Figure 89: $\delta(x)$

Figure 90: $\delta(x)$

Figure 91: $\delta(x)$

Figure 92: $\delta(x)$

Figure 93: $\delta(x)$

Figure 94: $\delta(x)$

Figure 95: $\delta(x)$

Figure 96: $\delta(x)$

Figure 97: $\delta(x)$

Figure 98: $\delta(x)$

Figure 99: $\delta(x)$

Figure 100: $\delta(x)$

¹⁰ [https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.2644](#)

b) $\frac{1}{2}$

, $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ E

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$\frac{1}{2}$ g

הנה

החל

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

14

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

15

16

הנה

14

הנה

הנה

הנה

15

הנה (2010) הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה

הנה (2010) הנה

הנה

הנה

V

W

Q, ~~ap~~

Handbook of Financial Services Marketing, 2nd Edition, 2014, p. 139

The most significant finding of the study was that the perceived value of the service was positively related to the perceived quality of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service quality than for the service value.

The study also found that the perceived quality of the service was positively related to the perceived value of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service value than for the service quality.

The study also found that the perceived value of the service was positively related to the perceived quality of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service quality than for the service value.

The study also found that the perceived quality of the service was positively related to the perceived value of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service value than for the service quality.

The study also found that the perceived value of the service was positively related to the perceived quality of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service quality than for the service value.

The study also found that the perceived quality of the service was positively related to the perceived value of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service value than for the service quality.

The study also found that the perceived value of the service was positively related to the perceived quality of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service quality than for the service value.

The study also found that the perceived quality of the service was positively related to the perceived value of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service value than for the service quality.

The study also found that the perceived value of the service was positively related to the perceived quality of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service quality than for the service value.

The study also found that the perceived quality of the service was positively related to the perceived value of the service. This relationship was stronger for the service value than for the service quality.

¹⁸ A. J. J. (2014) *Handbook of Financial Services Marketing*, 2nd Edition, 2014, p. 139

English		Arabic		Arabic
isolation		العزلة		
franchise	التفويض		التفويض	
fringe		التفويض		التفويض
fringe		التفويض		التفويض
fringe		التفويض		التفويض
fringe		التفويض		التفويض
fringe		التفويض		التفويض
fringe		التفويض		التفويض
fringe		التفويض		التفويض
fringe		التفويض		التفويض

3. Capital Allocations in Stat

0.26% is

still

Q is by

in p0.011). The

Q is still

is

p0.001).

is by

is

is

is

Q is

is

dis

is

is

is

p0.453), is

is

p0.037 d

p0.099, is in

is

is

is

6

lit

Q lit

lit

lit

lit

lit

lit

11/11

2.147 18% 1.451 6.5%
 2.6 1.35 8.8% 1.7 5.7
 1.8% 1.894 8.2% 3.7%
 2.7% 0.4%

Q1

Q2

Q3 Q4 Q5

Q6

Q7

Q8

Q9

Q10

Q11

Q12

Q13

Q14

Q15, P

Q16

Q17

Q18

Q19

Q20

Q21

Q22

Q23

(1). The χ^2 test results are shown in Table 1. The results show that the difference between the two groups is statistically significant ($p < 0.026$), with a relative risk of 1.93% (95% CI 0.42% to 5.19%) (Table 1, $p = 0.043$).

The results of the logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 2. The results show that the risk of the outcome is significantly higher in the intervention group compared to the control group (OR 0.24, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.85, $p = 0.026$).

The results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 3. The results show that the risk of the outcome is significantly higher in the intervention group compared to the control group (OR 0.24, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.85, $p = 0.026$).

The results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 3. The results show that the risk of the outcome is significantly higher in the intervention group compared to the control group (OR 0.24, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.85, $p = 0.026$).

²² The results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 3. The results show that the risk of the outcome is significantly higher in the intervention group compared to the control group (OR 0.24, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.85, $p = 0.026$).

~~08/11/11~~

~~10/11/11~~

~~12/11/11~~

~~14/11/11~~

~~16/11/11~~

~~18/11/11~~

g. l. h. h. n

h. p. i. d. e

h. 2015), d. h.

e. s. t. e. p. i. n

h. e. p.

s. h. e. p.

e. p. i. s. t. o. b.

b. y. t. h.

h. i. s. t. o. r. i. n

h. e.

h. e. v.

e. t. c. i. n

b. y. t. h.

s. h. e.

h. i. n

). h. e. p.

s. t. e. p.

h. (1998). h. p.

e. p. i. n

h. i. p. w.

h. e. m.

h. e.

h. e. p. i. c.

h. e. p. (2005)

h. e. p.

s. t. e. p. i. n

s. t. e. p.

h. e. p.

e. p. i. n (e. g.

h. e. i. n

h. e. g. a. n

e. p.

). h. e. m.

h. e. p.

e. p. i. n

h. e. p. (2015) e.

e. p. i.

h. e. p.

h. e. p.

h. e. p. (2012)

2012). h. e. p.

h. e. p. i. n

h. e. p. (50%) a

h. e. p.

h. e. p. (50%).

²³ h. e. p.

h. e. p.

h. e. p. i. n

h. e. p. i. n

h. e. p.

h. e. p.

h. e. p.

h. e. p. i. n

h. e. p.

²⁴ h. e. p.

²³ h. e. p. (2003, 2006, 2009).

²⁴ h. e. p. i. n

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

25

01/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

01/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

01/10/00

10/10/00

6.10%, 1.6% ; 6.1%

1.8%, 1.8%, 1.8%

1.8% 1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

5% 1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 5% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

28 1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

1.8% 1.8%

D

Q1551010

gripin

dsp

gllh

hlfpa

hish

gth

hgnlp

hthshv

spgish

thly

hgnlv

hstip

hshh

ie Nl

tan

phlra

hst

sp A

hshh

hb

hshy b

hshlv

hshh

DV

A

D

gth

hsh

hsh

hshh

Q hsh

hsh

British and German

studies

have

shown

that

children

with

Q scores

Q

below

average

are

Q scores

p=0.0008, the

mean (

p=0.001) vs 0.21 (

p=0.035).³¹

With

Q scores

below

average

children

with

Q

below

average

children

with

Q

below

average

children

with

Q

below

).

mean (

Q

below

average

children

with

Q

below

average

are

Q

below

average

children

with

Q

below

average

children

with

³¹ British and German

children

12.78% (p < 0.001); and
 0.21% (p = 0.027).
 33

5. Party Objectives, Managerial Incentives, And Interest Alignment

1.4
 7, 6
 65
 65
 65

33

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

Q

0.01

0.01

Q

0.01

Q

0.423

(p<0.01).

0.01

Q

Q

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

34

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

Q

(p<0.01)

(0.922 v 0.295)

0.01

p<0.099).

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

34

0.01

0.01

Q

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

Q

0.01

The results of the regression analysis are presented in Table 6. The dependent variable is the natural logarithm of the number of employees. The independent variables are the natural logarithm of the number of sales, the natural logarithm of the number of assets, and the natural logarithm of the number of liabilities. The regression equation is:

$$\ln(\text{Employees}) = 0.147 \ln(\text{Sales}) + 0.516 \ln(\text{Assets}) - 0.147 \ln(\text{Liabilities}) + \text{Error}$$

The coefficient on the sales variable is 0.147, which is statistically significant at the 0.02 level (p < 0.02). The coefficient on the assets variable is 0.516, which is statistically significant at the 0.01 level (p < 0.01). The coefficient on the liabilities variable is -0.147, which is not statistically significant.

6. Conclusions

This study has shown that the number of employees is positively related to the number of sales and the number of assets, and negatively related to the number of liabilities. The results suggest that larger firms tend to have more employees, and that firms with more assets tend to have more employees. The results also suggest that firms with more liabilities tend to have fewer employees.

The findings of this study have several implications for practice. First, the results suggest that firms should focus on increasing sales and assets in order to increase the number of employees. Second, the results suggest that firms should be careful not to take on too much debt, as this may lead to a decrease in the number of employees.

There are several limitations to this study. First, the study is based on a cross-sectional design, which does not allow for the examination of causal relationships. Second, the study only examines the relationship between the number of employees and the three independent variables, and does not control for other factors that may affect the number of employees.

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

1111

References

- AY 1986, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **61**, 117–124.
- AS D D 2006, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **101**, 79, 317337.
- AF J D M 2005, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **99**, 77, 57416.
- HB K S K 2014, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **116**, 117, 117–124.
- HS R M 2011, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **110**, 99, 447475.
- HL D 2006, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **101**, 61, 26372680.
- BG Y K 2008, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **105**, 21, 20152060.
- BK J K M 2002, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **93**, 57, 26952740.
- BIS J K 2006, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **101**, 61, 24152449.
- BC Q L L M 2004, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **97**, 32, 599–616.
- BM S 2003, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **95**, 1, 478483.
- BMP M S 2002, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **92**, 117, 12148.
- BNT D M 2003, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **95**, 11674201, 16,
- CL X D 2011, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **110**, 17, 541554.
- FM 1997, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **83**, 52, 5782.
- GC G F K C P 2015, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **118**, 17, 259271.
- SM Z S S D M 2011, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **110**, 17, 259271.

~~HR 1199, Title~~
~~M~~ ~~Q~~

~~HR 1199, Title~~
~~F~~

~~HR 1199~~
114, 1193-1242.
~~HR 1199, Title~~

SHH dSR 1999, 5, 169491.
 SHH dSR 1998, 113, 531-552.
 SA 1998, 12, 133-150.
 SA dV 1998, C, 96, 61-80.
 SJC 1988, 80, 96, 61-80.
 SJC 1997, 52, 111434.
 SJC 2003, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000.

Appendix A. Variable Definitions.

Summary statistics and capital allocation models

State groups 2004, H

2004

Size

Sales

Size

Employees

Tobin's Q

Size

Size (2011)

Size

Size

Return on assets

Size

Total factor productivity

Abnormal portfolio returns (Table 5)

Market risk premium
 $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{M,t} - R_{f,t})$

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{M,t} - R_{f,t})$

SMB and HML
 (Fama and French 1993).
 $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{SMB,t} - R_{f,t})$
 $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{HML,t} - R_{f,t})$

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{SMB,t} - R_{f,t})$

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{SMB,t} - R_{f,t})$

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{SMB,t} - R_{f,t})$

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{SMB,t} - R_{f,t})$

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{SMB,t} - R_{f,t})$

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{SMB,t} - R_{f,t})$

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (R_{SMB,t} - R_{f,t})$

Bl h

ROA impairment

2016

2017

2018

Internal capital misallocation

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

~~Highly~~

diversified ~~highly~~

focused ~~highly~~

Loss-making ~~is~~ ~~highly~~ ~~profitable~~
~~is~~

Profitable ~~is~~ ~~highly~~ ~~profitable~~
~~is~~

~~highly~~ ~~profitable~~

Below-average TFP ~~is~~

Table 1. Summary Statistics.

Year	Sample Size (n)	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)	Minimum	Maximum
2004	116	1.66	0.44	1.00	2.00
2013	112	1.62	0.43	1.00	2.00
2015	115	1.65	0.44	1.00	2.00

Table 2. *Q* Sensitivity of Internal and External Capital Allocations.

Internal Capital Allocation	External Capital Allocation	Q. In
High	High	High
High	Low	Low
Low	High	High
Low	Low	Low

Table 3. Mitigating and Enabling Factors: Monitoring and Soft Budget Constraints.

File 1-2

Q div Q 57th

Table 4. Characteristics of Source and Recipient Firms.

Source Firm	Recipient Firm	W	t
Size (Employees)	Size (Employees)	High	0.00
Industry	Industry	High	0.00
Age (Years)	Age (Years)	High	0.00

Table 5. Are Minority Shareholders Harmed?

Year	Sample Size (N)	Number of Deals	Number of Minority Shareholders Harmed	Percentage of Minority Shareholders Harmed
1993	1,000	100	10	10%
1994	1,000	100	12	12%
1995	1,000	100	15	15%
1996	1,000	100	18	18%
1997	1,000	100	20	20%
1998	1,000	100	22	22%
1999	1,000	100	25	25%
2000	1,000	100	28	28%
2001	1,000	100	30	30%
2002	1,000	100	32	32%
2003	1,000	100	35	35%
2004	1,000	100	38	38%
2005	1,000	100	40	40%
2006	1,000	100	42	42%
2007	1,000	100	45	45%
2008	1,000	100	48	48%
2009	1,000	100	50	50%
2010	1,000	100	52	52%
2011	1,000	100	55	55%
2012	1,000	100	58	58%
2013	1,000	100	60	60%
2014	1,000	100	62	62%
2015	1,000	100	65	65%
2016	1,000	100	68	68%
2017	1,000	100	70	70%
2018	1,000	100	72	72%
2019	1,000	100	75	75%
2020	1,000	100	78	78%
2021	1,000	100	80	80%
2022	1,000	100	82	82%
2023	1,000	100	85	85%
2024	1,000	100	88	88%
2025	1,000	100	90	90%

Table 6. Diversified vs. Focused State Business Groups.

	Diversified		Focused	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
ROA	-0.227	0.08	-0.165	0.07
ROE	-0.080	0.03	0.092	0.04
Ln Sales	-0.613	0.09	-0.522	0.08
Ln Employees	0.025	0.01	0.092	0.01
Ln Assets	0.066	0.01	0.045	0.01
Ln Cash	-0.005	0.019	0.022	0.02
Ln Debt	0.057	0.01	-0.031	0.01
Ln Equity	-0.010	0.007	-0.012	0.004
Ln Total Assets	-0.249	-0.209	1.169	-0.722
Ln Total Equity	1.852	-0.562	-3.033	1.121
Diagnostics				
R ²		49.2%	47.7%	34.6%
F-stat	Q?		0.06	4.92**
DFn		454	346	175
DFd		131	26	205
DFt		2,418	1,702	735
				3,385

Table 7, Panel A: Promotions and Demotions at the Group Level.

Table 7, Panel B: Promotions and Demotions at the Member-firm Level.

		Panel A			Panel B			
		Promotions			Demotions			
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Firm/chairman characteristics								
Age		0.248	***	0.269***	0.272***	-0.193***	-0.192***	-0.197***
CEO	-0.145		**	-0.145**	-0.149**	0.111***	0.111***	0.110***
± 1950s	-0.803		***	-0.796***	-0.791**	0.083	0.082	0.085
± 1960s	-1.339		*	-1.358**	-1.362**	-0.035	-0.036	-0.026
Socio-political objectives								
± 1950s	0.184	0.180			-0.016	-0.015		
± 1960s					-0.221			0.232
Profit-related objectives								
± 1950s	0.230	0.218			-0.036	-0.038		
± 1960s					-0.057			-0.092
± 1970s	0.006	-0.029			0.138	0.137		
± 1980s					0.347			0.466**
Capital allocations								
± 1950s	-0.042		**	-0.043**		-0.002	-0.002	
Diagnostics								
R ²		4.1%	4.7%	4.7%	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	
Obs	1,222	1,222	1,222	1,222	1,222	1,222		
Events	55	55	55	166	166	166		
Controls	3,814	3,814	3,814			3,814	3,814	3,814

Table 8. Profitability and Productivity.

Table 8. Profitability and Productivity.
Q 1990-2000

Table 10. Career Objectives.

High school graduate	Some college	College graduate	Postgraduate
1. To obtain a job in my field of interest	1. To obtain a job in my field of interest	1. To obtain a job in my field of interest	1. To obtain a job in my field of interest
2. To gain experience in my field	2. To gain experience in my field	2. To gain experience in my field	2. To gain experience in my field
3. To earn a good salary	3. To earn a good salary	3. To earn a good salary	3. To earn a good salary
4. To advance in my career	4. To advance in my career	4. To advance in my career	4. To advance in my career
5. To work for a company that provides benefits	5. To work for a company that provides benefits	5. To work for a company that provides benefits	5. To work for a company that provides benefits
6. To work in a stable environment	6. To work in a stable environment	6. To work in a stable environment	6. To work in a stable environment
7. To work for a company that offers training opportunities	7. To work for a company that offers training opportunities	7. To work for a company that offers training opportunities	7. To work for a company that offers training opportunities
8. To work for a company that has a good reputation	8. To work for a company that has a good reputation	8. To work for a company that has a good reputation	8. To work for a company that has a good reputation
9. To work for a company that has a good work-life balance	9. To work for a company that has a good work-life balance	9. To work for a company that has a good work-life balance	9. To work for a company that has a good work-life balance
10. To work for a company that has a good culture	10. To work for a company that has a good culture	10. To work for a company that has a good culture	10. To work for a company that has a good culture

INTERNET APPENDIX

(NOT INTENDED FOR PUBLICATION)

Table IA.1. Baseline Models with Alternative Measures of Internal Capital Allocations.

Model	Year	Model	Year
Model A	2010	Model B	2010
Model C	2010	Model D	2010
Model E	2010	Model F	2010

Table IA.2. Baseline Models with Capital Transfers for Alternative Sets of Group Entities.

https://www.fed.gov

https://www.fed.gov

https://www.fed.gov

https://www.fed.gov

https://www.fed.gov

Table IA.3. Alternative Size Cut-offs and Placebo Tests.

~~in a 2x2 grid~~

~~placebo~~

~~Q 1st-5th~~