## Faculty of Engineering Researchapplicant interview report form

School:						
Applicant'sName						
Interviewer's Name:						
Date of interview:						
Type of interview (please circle): In Person SkypeVideo)						
Planned admission semester SchoolSupervisor:						
Part A(Questions to be asked by interviewer. Record the candidate's answers) Why do you want to do a PhD/MPħiAnd specifically, why at UNSW with the named supervisor?						
What previous research experience do you have? What other background courses, etc have you done that make you suitable for your chosen topic area?						
Discuss the research proposal. Can you tell me more aboutplyamed research?						
Will you be able to join UNSW without aholarship (Please Circle) Yes No  If yes, details of how they support themselves.						

Any additional comments?

Part B:To be completed if applicant hassot meet UNSW Englishanguagerequirement.

(i) Please answer each questionr	barking somewhere on the scales.	
How welldid the applicant explaint	he previous or planned researchroject?	
not really with pror	npting eventually	<u>cle</u> arly
How well could the applicant prese	ent a conference paper?	
	···	easily
And answer questions? yes /		<del>- casily</del>
· ·		
How well would the applicant conv		
<u>would struggle</u>	adequately	<u>easi</u> ly
How well couldhe applicant enga	ge with a UNSW course?	
with difficulty	easily	
How well did the applicant under	stand the interviewers?	
with difficulty	easily	
(ii) Pleasendicate the applicate pe	erformanceby marking a box in eta colu	ımn.
The actual peech	Expression	structure
Someminor errors that never interfere with meaning. Words are clear & at good speaking rate	Fluentlyusingcorrect grammar & words. Complex sentences.	Responseælevant. Relationships between ideas clear.
Speech is clear, at normal pace, but with some flaw-rate, pronunciation, etc-that don't generally affect intelligibility.	Fairly fluent usef correct words & grammar. Some incorrect or imprecise replies but these dont affect meaning.	Mostly coherent & relevant. Lacks details. Ideas not alway connected.
Listener must concentrate hard at times to getmeaning due to frequent errors-pronunciation, rate of speech, fragmented delivery, etc.	Frequent errors with grammænd/or vocabularyobscurethe meaning. Only short sentences are used fluently. Only basic vocabulary (apart from specialisation). Usemprecise/incorrect words	Relevantresponses, but very little developmentof ideas. Sometimes vague or repetitious. Connections may be unclear.
Speech is difficult to understand due to frequent errors of pronunciation and/or being said in fragmest	Poor grammatical constructs. Formulaic expressions and/or rehears and/swers.	Limited relevant content. Relies on pompts or repetition, including repeating questions.
Acknowledging inspiration from TOEFL's	s tables of descriptors.	
Was the English admission requirem	nent discusseù≌s / no / NA	
Has the applicant done an English L If so, please give details:	anguage testes Y / no / NA	
Does the applicanhavea plan for an Elf so, please give details:	English test?Yes / no / NA	